

# MINUTES OF THE SIXTH QAC MEETING

This project has been funded with support from the European Commission. This publication reflects the views only of the author, and the Commission cannot be held responsible for any use which may be made of the information contained therein.

**University of Nis** 



Strengthening of master curricula in water resources management for the Western Balkans HEIs and stakeholders

Project number: 597888-EPP-1-2018-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP



### **PROJECT INFO**

Project title	Strengthening of master curricula in water resources		
	management for the Western Balkans HEIs and		
	stakeholders		
Project acronym	SWARM		
Project reference number	597888-EPP-1-2018-1-RS-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP		
Funding scheme	Erasmus+ Capacity building in the field of higher education		
Web address	www.swarm.ni.ac.rs		
Coordination institution	University of Nis		
Project duration	15 November 2018 – 14 November 2021		

### **DOCUMENT CONTROL SHEET**

Work package	WP5 Quality assurance and monitoring	
Ref. no and title of activity	e of activity A5.2 Regular Quality Assurance Committee meetings	
Title of deliverable	Minutes of the sixth QAC meeting	
Lead institution	University of Lisboa (UL)	
Author(s) Milan Gocic, Maria Manuela Portela		
Document status	Final	
Document version and date	v01	
Dissemination level	Public	

## **VERSIONING AND CONTRIBUTION HISTORY**

Version	Date	Revision description	Partner
			responsible
v.01	13/09/2021	Creation of the	UNI, UL
		document	



	_		4.	_		
$\Gamma$	റ	n	١T	Р	n	т٩

1.	Introduction	. 3
2.	Quality Assurance Committee meeting	. 3
ANN	IEX I – Fifth QAC meeting agenda	. 6
ANN	IEX II – Attendance list	. 7
ΔΝΝ	JEX III – Accompanying photos.	. 8



### 1. Introduction

This document reports the fifth QAC meeting of the Erasmus+ Capacity Building in the Field of Higher Education project "Strengthening of master curricula in water resources management for the Western Balkans HEIs and stakeholders" (SWARM), n a hybrid mode (on-line using Zoom as a tool for the meeting and in-person applying all measures for organizing meetings in the COVID-19 period) on 9th September 2021. The meeting was chaired by Milan Gocić (UNI).

The purpose of the meeting was to discuss quality plan and activities of the QAC team in more details. Only UNS representative didn't take part into this meeting.

The meeting agenda was adopted without changes.

# 2. Quality Assurance Committee meeting

#### Speaker:

Maria Manuela Portela, University of Lisboa (UL)

Milan Gocić, University of Nis (UNI)

Barbara Karleuša, University of Rijeka, Faculty of Civil Engineering (UNIRIFCE)

Maria Mavrova-Guirguinova, University of Architecture, Civil Engineering and Geodesy (UACEG)

Susann Andersen, Norwegian University of Life Sciences (NMBU)

#### **Summary:**

Meeting started at 12:00 after the SC meeting.

Milan Gocić presented the analysis of the second external evaluation report highlighting the following:

#### Relevance:

- The project activities specified in the project proposal correspond to the project objectives and addressing real problems and needs. They were realized delivering outcomes relevant to the objectives.
- The target beneficiaries, as defined within the project proposal have participated in planned activities and organized events (seminars, training, etc.), and other measures were taken to support the project realization.
- o Relevance can also be confirmed by a relatively high number of participants, quality of presentations, and evaluation reports of these events.

#### > Efficiency:

o Transformation of the project inputs to outputs is **confirmed** by well-documented reports for realized project activities and delivered outputs. No severe deviations



have been noticed in project executions and project plan, besides small delays due to the Covid pandemic, which is expected.

#### Effectivity:

 All delivered main outputs match the wider and specific objectives defined in the project proposal achieving the main project goal by including a high number of participants in the organized events.

#### Impact:

My overall impression about the project is that it fulfilled the expectations with a great impact, although a relatively large number of participants was involved in project realization. All planned outcomes are being delivered or are in progress according to the initial project plan without deviations. Planned comparison of the situation before the project and after project realization can confirm the quality assessment of project deliveries and their impact besides the quantity measures specified in the management reports and delivery results.

#### > Sustainability:

O As the curricula accreditation process is finalized and all training material delivered and courses initiated, I believe that the project consortium will continue to deliver courses with the support of the local and national government bodies, industry, and the University management ensuring financial and social sustainability.

#### Overall conclusions:

The planned and realized project activities correspond to the project objectives and deliveries have outstanding quality. A small number of activities are still in progress according to the project plan and corrective measures from provided recommendations, giving evidence of excellent quality management.

Barbara Karleuša presented the progress on deliverable reporting. She stressed the following:

- ➤ WP1, WP3, WP5 all activities completed and all deliverables evaluated
- ➤ WP2 all activities completed and 1 deliverable (2.5) still has to be evaluated
- $\triangleright$  WP4 2 activities completed and both (4.2, 4.4.) deliverables still have to be evaluated; 2 activities are in progress ...
- ➤ WP6 1 activity completed and the deliverable has been evaluated; 5 activities are in progress
- ➤ WP7 3 activities completed and 1 deliverable (7.2) still has to be evaluated and 3 activities are in progress ...
- > 35 activities, 63 % completed deliverables on the project web site
- ➤ 28 deliverables to be evaluated, (17) 61% done,
- (4) 14% late with evaluation, (7) 25% still in time

Barbara Karleuša also presented the report on the workpackage assessment and said that 6 reports on the work package assessment (Annex QA12) and that all WP were evaluated with **high marks** between **4 (very good)** and **5 (excellent)**.

Maria Manuela Portela presented the report on the project management assessment and two groups of questions:

- Overall assessment of the project management
  - o Structure of project time schedule



#### Minutes of the sixth QAC meeting

- o Communication between partners
- o Timeliness of feedbacks from the coordinator when requested
- o Incisiveness of coordination
- o How do you rate overall the project management for the period of the last year?
- > Evaluation of the level of involvement
  - o Actively involved in the project development
  - o Satisfied with the implementation of the project activities
  - o Distribution among partners of tasks sharing

Maria Mavrova-Guirguinova presented the analysis of self-evaluation reports of implemented study programmes and developed subjects and concluded that the self-evaluation reports of implemented study programmes and developed subjects shows very good and excellent ratings for their testing in the academic year 2020-2021.

Susann Andersen presented the analysis of self-evaluation reports of trainings for professionals in the water sector and highlighted pros and cons of using online and in-person organization of events.

Milan Gocić presented the final quality report and that the final evalution form will consist of

- > the benefits and limitations of the project
- > personal and professional experiences of being involved in SWARM project.

The QAC meeting finished at 14:15 h.



# ANNEX I – Sixth QAC meeting agenda

Thursday, 9 <sup>th</sup> September 2021					
	1 Hristo Smirnenski Blvd				
	Sixth Quality Assurance Committee meeting				
	Moderator: Milan Gocić				
12:00-12:05	Quality Assurance Committee participar	nts registration			
12:05-12:20	Analysis of the second external	Milan Gocić, UNI			
	evaluation report				
12:20-12:35	Progress on deliverable reporting	Barbara Karleuša, UNIRIFCE			
	(Annex QA13)				
12:35-12:55	Report on the workpackage	Barbara Karleuša, UNIRIFCE			
	assessment (Annex QA12)				
12:55-13:10	Report on the project management	Maria Manuela Portela, UL			
	assessment (Annex QA10)				
13:10-13:20	Disinfection break / Coffee break				
13:20-13:35	Analysis of self-evaluation reports of	Maria Mavrova-Guirguinova, UACEG			
	implemented study programmes and				
	developed subjects				
13:35-13:45	Analysis of self-evaluation reports of	Susann Andersen, NMBU			
	trainings for professionals in the water				
	sector				
13:45-14:00	Final quality report	Milan Gocić, UNI			
14:00-14:15 General discussion and closing the meeting					



# ANNEX II – Attendance list

No.	Name	Institution acronym	Type of participation
1	Milan Gocić	P1-UNI	In-person
2	Slaviša Tajković	P1-UNI	In-person
3	Mladen Milanović	P1-UNI	In-person
4	Michael Tritthart	P2-BOKU	In-person
5	Daniel Wildt	P2-BOKU	In-person
6	Zakhar Maletskyi	P3-NMBU	Online
7	Susann Andersen	P3-NMBU	Online
8	Skoulikaris Charalampos	P4-AUTh	Online
9	Panagiotis Prinos	P4-AUTh	In-person
10	Petar Filkov	P5-UACEG	In-person
11	Maria Mavrova-Guirguinova	P5-UACEG	In-person
12	Silvia Lacova	P5-UACEG	In-person
13	Barbara Karleuša	P6-UNIRIFCE	Online
14	Bojana Horvat	P6-UNIRIFCE	Online
15	Maria Manuela Portela	P7-UL	Online
16	Rodrigo Proenca de Oliveira	P7-UL	Online
17	Emina Hadžić	P9-UNSA	Online
18	Ammar Šarić	P9-UNSA	Online
19	Mili Selimotić	P10-UNMO	Online
20	Marko Ćećez	P10-UNMO	Online
21	Aleksandra Petrović	P11-UPKM	In-person
22	Nebojša Arsić	P11-UPKM	In-person
23	Jelena Rajović	P12-AASKM	Online
24	Goran Sekulić	P13-UoM	Online
25	Biljana Šćepanović	P13-UoM	Online
26	Ivana Ćipranić	P13-UoM	Online
27	Olivera Gavrilović	P14-PWMC VV	Online



ANNEX III – Accompanying photos























